BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF OGDEN MARINE, INC. 4 (S.S. SACRAMENTO), PCHB No. 84 5 Appellant, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION AND ORDER 6 vs. PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

This matter is the appeal of a \$250 civil penalty invoked by respondent Agency against appellant for an alleged violation of Section 9.03(a)(1) of Regulation I of respondent Agency by the ship SACRAMENTO while berthed at Terminal 37, Seattle, on December 22, 1971.

÷

The matter came before all members of the Pollution Control Hearings
Board at a proceeding held in respondent Agency's Seattle office at
9:30 a.m. on April 11, 1972. Appellant was represented by Robert Gaddy,
marine superintendent of Atlas Steamship Agency, Seattle, agent for

appellant. Respondent was represented by its counsel, Keith D. McGoffin. Shirley W. Marshall, Seattle court reporter, prepared the transcript of the proceedings.

The proceedings began as an informal conference, but assumed the status of a formal hearing after it became apparent no compromise settlement could be attained. Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

On the basis of testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

On December 21, 1971, a printed circular (Respondent's Exhibit 1) detailing smoke emission regulations and procedures of the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, was taken aboard and left on the ship SACRAMENTO, at berth in Seattle, by an inspector of respondent Agency. This was done after other personnel of respondent Agency on several days earlier in December had observed smoke emissions from the stack of the ship SACRAMENTO which they believed were in violation of respondent Agency's regulations.

II.

On December 22, 1971, while at berth in Seattle, the ship SACRAMENTO emitted from its stack, sroke of more than 40 percent density on the Ringlemann Chart for a period of more than 15 minutes.

III.

The emission apparently was caused by untrained personnel operating the ship's boiler burner in an improper manner.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION AND ORDER

1.4

 20°

1	From these facts and exhibits, the Pollution Control Hearings Board
2	comes to this
3	CONCLUSION
4	The ship SACRAMENTO was in violation of Section 9.03(a)(1) of
5	Regulation I of respondent Agency in Seattle on December 22, 1971, and
6	had been on several occasions immediately prior thereto.
7	In view of this, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this
8	ORDER
9	The penalty of \$250.00 imposed by the respondent Agency (Notice of
10	Civil Penalty No. 193) for the violation on December 22, 1971 referred
11	to in the foregoing Findings and Conclusion is sustained.
12	DONE at Seattle, Washington this $\frac{23.16}{2}$ day of May, 1972.
13	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
14	Statte 1. Liel.
15	MATTHEW W. HILL, Chairman
16	
17	JAMES T. SHEEHY, Member
18	" New Her
19	Walt Woodward Member
20	WALT WOODWARD, Member
21	
22	
23	
24	

27 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION AND ORDER

õ

26